ı		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BARRY J. PORTMAN Federal Public Defender JODI LINKER Assistant Federal Public Defender 19th Floor Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7700 NUV X 1 2011 Counsel for Defendant CIEPIELA RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK U.S. BISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR-11-70143 MAG (JCS)	
12	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE AND	
13	v.) EXCLUSION UNDER THE SPEEDY) TRIAL ACT AND RULE 5.1	
14	GEORGE CIEPIELA,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	The parties jointly request that, subject to the Court's approval, the preliminary	
18	hearing/arraignment presently set for November 2, 2011 be continued to November 18, 2011 at	
19	9:30 am.	
20	Defendant George Ciepiela is charged in a criminal complaint with possession of child	
21	pornography. Mr. Ciepiela resides in Washington state and must fly to the Northern District of	
22	California for each court appearance. The parties are in negotiations on a pre-indictment	
23	resolution of the case. Additionally, defense counsel requires additional time to effectively	
24	prepare the defendant's case, including investigation and legal research of matters critical to the	;
25	case. Accordingly, the parties jointly request that the preliminary hearing or arraignment be	
26	continued from November 2, 2011 to November 18, 2011.	
	US v. Ciepiela, CR-11-70143 MAG (JCS); STIP & [PROPOSED] ORD. TO CONTINUE 1	

Case 3:11-mj-70143-MRGD Document 23 Filed 11/01/11 Page 2 of 2

For the above reasons, the parties stipulate there is good cause – taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of this case – to extend the time limit under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for the preliminary hearing or arraignment from November 2, 2011 to November 18, 2011. The parties further agree that the time from November 2, 2011 to November 18, 2011 should be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b), and that failing to exclude that time would unreasonably deny the defendant and his counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties further agree that the ends of justice would be served by excluding the time from November 2, 2011 to November 18, 2011 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act and that the need for the exclusion outweighs the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

November 1, 2011 DATED

OWEN MARTIKAN
Assistant United States Attorney

November 1, 2011
DATED

JODI LINKER
Assistant Federal Public Defender

IT IS SO ORDERED.

11/1/11

DATED

JOSEPH Judy Joseph C. Spero

US v. Ciepiela, CR-11-70143 MAG (JCS); STIP & [PROPOSED] ORD. TO CONTINUE